

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

511 -	4AN	LICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
	120	10/674,269	09/29/2003	Franck Olstowski	96600/19UTL	3100	
MAR 08	5006	873	7590 02/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ROBERT W STROZIER, P.L.L.C			SNAY, JEFFREY R		
CE TRAN		PO BOX 429	TX 77402-0429		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		BELLAIRE,	1.7 //402-0429		1743		

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIFE 4									
	3	pplication No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	MAR 0 8 2006 1	Q)674,269	OLSTOWSKI, FRANEK						
Before the Filing of an Appe	al Brief	aminer	Art Unit						
Before the Filing of an Appe	PADRICE	effrey R. Snay	1743						
The MAILING DATE of this comm		on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because									
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
(b) I hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for									
appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _ non-allowable claim(s).		wable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of									
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after	r a final action, but h	pefore or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will not be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a sh was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR	owing of good and								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:	,		Q						
			4.12						
			Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the term "adapted" proposed for claim 1 is without clear meaning and scope, and in any event would constitute a new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they either argue method limitations regarding apparatus claims or for the reasons already provided in the last Office action...